

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/737,407 12/16/2003		Richard Wade Hochanadel	3372	7614	
37761	7590 05/10/2005			EXAMINER	
ERICKSON			SPISICH, MARK		
800 W. 47TH STREET, SUITE 401 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			,	1744	1744

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

lμ	
ľ	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/737,407	HOCHANADEL, RICHARD WADE					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mark Spisich	1744					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- ·						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 9-11 is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attachment	• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔯 Inform	hation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/2003.		atent Application (PTO-152)					
C Patent and Ter	1.00							

Art Unit: 1744

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to an applicator device, classified in class 15, subclass 244.1.
- II. Claim 12, drawn to a method of treating (i.e. coating) a surface, classified in class 427, subclass 429.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case (I) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as one which does not have a means for gripping (as recited in claim 1), a secondary grip detachable from the primary grip (as recited in claim 9), or the detents (recited in claim 10) and (II) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one which was not used in the recited order recited in claim 12 (vertical then horizontal) or even used to coat a surface which was neither.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1744

4. During a telephone conversation with Sean Bradley on 3 May 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claim 12 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forbis (USP 2,261,058). The patent to Forbis discloses a device comprising two sections (6,8) which have a weakened area (9) between them to permit the severing thereof (column 1, lines 43-48) and wherein one of the sections (8) including a porous absorbent filamentary material (7) (column 1, lines 38-40) covering a substantial portion thereof. Once severed, the exposed portion of segment (8) would provide a means for gripping the applicator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1744

8. Claims 4,5,7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbis (USP 2,261,058). The patent to Forbis discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the material of the pad or specifying the material of the handle. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 9-11 are allowed.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art failed to suggestion, in particular in combination with an applicator as defined in claims 9 and 10, a secondary handle/gripping element attachable to the applicator portion

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited patents are pertinent to implements which include at least one line of weakness so that portions thereof may be severed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS